

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/623,368	07/18/2003	Tatenuma Katsuyoshi	Hohjoh Case 50	3174	
23474 7	7590 09/27/2006		EXAMINER		
	EL BOUTELL & TANI	PHASGE, ARUN S			
2026 RAMBLI KALAMAZO	ING KOAD O, MI 49008-1631		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
	•		1753		
			DATE MAILED: 09/27/2006	5	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

۲.	
8	

			Application No.	Applica	ant(s)			
Office Action Summary			10/623,368	KATSU	KATSUYOSHI ET AL.			
			Examiner	Art Uni	t			
			Arun S. Phasge	1753				
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this commun or Reply	ication appe	ears on the cover sh	eet with the correspo	ndence address			
WHIC - Exter after - If NC - Failu Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD F CHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE M nsions of time may be available under the provisions SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this comr o period for reply is specified above, the maximum st re to reply within the set or extended period for reply reply received by the Office later than three months and patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	MAILING DA s of 37 CFR 1.136 nunication. ratutory period will will, by statute, of	TE OF THIS COMI 6(a). In no event, however, il apply and will expire SIX cause the application to be	MUNICATION. may a reply be timely filed 6) MONTHS from the mailing me ABANDONED (35 U.S.	date of this communication. C. § 133).			
Status								
1)	Responsive to communication(s) file	ed on						
			action is non-final.					
3)□	Since this application is in condition	•—		l matters, prosecution	n as to the merits is			
·	closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.							
Dispositi	ion of Claims							
4)⊠	Claim(s) 1-7 is/are pending in the ap	oplication.						
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.							
	5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.							
·	i)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-7</u> is/are rejected.							
7)	Claim(s) is/are objected to.							
8)	Claim(s) are subject to restrict	ction and/or	election requireme	nt.				
Applicati	ion Papers							
<i>a</i>)□	The specification is objected to by th	e Evaminer						
				ed to by the Examine	יי			
۔ ٠٠/	10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).							
11)	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.							
	, under 35 U.S.C. § 119	,						
_	-	for foreign	oriority under 25 II	C	·A			
	Acknowledgment is made of a claim ☐ All b)☐ Some * c)☐ None of:	ioi ioreign p	ononly under 35 O.	5.C. 9 119(a)-(d) or (1).			
a)	1.☐ Certified copies of the priority	documente	have been receive	d				
	2. Certified copies of the priority							
	3. Copies of the certified copies			• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •				
	•	-	· ·		National Stage			
* 5	application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.							
See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.								
Attachmen	, ,		_					
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s)/Mail Date								
. —	r No(s)/Mail Date		· =	er:				
C Dotant and T	rademark Office		···					

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Claims 1-7 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Hilbertz et al (Hilbertz) article entitled, "Third Generation Artificial Reefs".

The Hilbertz reference discloses the claimed method for the removal of atmospheric carbon dioxide comprising the process of decarbonated surface ocean water, which concentration of carbonate is reduced by removing carbonate ions such as bicarbonate ion and carbonate ion by forming insoluble carbonate precipitates and sedimentation disposal of the insoluble carbonate precipitates to ocean bottom (see page 3). The removal of carbonate ions from the ocean water would shift the naturally occurring equilibrium and would remove carbon dioxide from the air. The reference discloses the electrolysis of the ocean water without any additives, which would decrease the concentration of hydrogen ion in the

further discloses the collection of the hydrogen formed at the cathode is recycled as an energy carrier (see page 4, column 3).

Accordingly, the claims are rejected.

Claims 1-6 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Hilbertz et al ('034) U.S. Patent 5,543,034.

The '034 patent discloses the claimed method for the removal of atmospheric carbon dioxide comprising the process of decarbonated surface ocean water, which concentration of carbonate is reduced by removing carbonate ions such as bicarbonate ion and carbonate ion by forming insoluble carbonate precipitates and sedimentation disposal of the insoluble carbonate precipitates to ocean bottom (see col. 9, line 14 to col. 10, line 65). The removal of carbonate ions from the ocean water would shift the naturally occurring equilibrium and would remove carbon dioxide from the air and the reference teaches that the electrolytically precipitated limestone was proposed as a "sink" for carbon (see col. 2, lines 1-5). The reference discloses the electrolysis of the ocean water without any additives, which would decrease the concentration of hydrogen ion because the pH of the water would rise (see col. 7, line 42-50). Finally, it appears that claims 1-

4 could be rejected by the naturally occurring removal of carbonates from seawater by organisms, such as coral and mollusks, which use the carbonates from seawater to form structural formations and allow the seawater to further absorb additional carbon dioxide (see col. 1, lines 48-60 and col. 8, lines 22-40).

Consequently, the claims are rejected.

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Arun 5. Phasge whose telephone number is (571) 272-1345. The examiner can normally be reached on MONDAY-THURSDAY, 7:30-6:00.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Nam X. Nguyen can be reached on (571) 272-1342. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Application/Control Number: 10/623,368

Art Unit: 1753

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Arun S. Phasge Primary Examiner Art Unit 1753 Page 5